Curricular Affairs Committee Meeting Minutes for Monday, November 21, 2016

1-2 pm, eLearning Conference Room

Members Present: Ken Abramowicz; Ana Aguilar-Islas; Casey Byrne; Jennie Carroll; Mike Earnest; Alex Fitts; Cindy Hardy; Eileen Harney, Co-Chair; Jayne Harvie; Ginny Kinne; Rainer Newberry; Caty Oehring;

Kate Quick; Holly Sherouse; Claire Gelvin-Smith.

Members Absent: Lisa Lunn; Bradley Moran; Dejan Raskovic

Guest: Colby Freel, ASUAF

A quorum was not present at the start of the meeting, but was obtained about 10-15 minutes into the meeting.

1. A(K)-tomko332.vDM-j.6.(b) w 1.8106)20.0-60332(b)-0.833g)(k)-/o32.vDM-ja

Item 2.b. (Misconduct Policy) was discussed first to accommodate guest Colby Freel.

Old Business

- a. Program Review document
 - i. FAC's suggested changes (Edits by Eileen and Sine)

Eileen gave an overview of the most recent changes since her last discussion with Faculty Affairs Committee and Sine Anahita. This version includes verbiage about a Senate vote, and removes the two-tiered process. Additions were made to clarify the timing of different steps. The biggest change in this version is in section 1 regarding the faculty program review committee composition which will be reviewed by Faculty Senate. The confidentiality statement about program findings was discussed and the impracticality of keeping findings "confidential" was noted. Faculty Senate's role in program elimination was discussed, and the need for keeping shared governance in the process. Faculty Senate "vote" or "vote of approval" language and the ramifications of such wording was discussed.

McCollough was on page 4 under the Disciplinary Sanctions heading, in the Procedures secti documenting a disciplinary sanction. Rainer noted that Faculty Senate should review and ap new procedures in time for them to be included in the next Catalog (at least by the March Faculty Senate meeting). He suggested putting it forward as a discussion or information item at the meeting after some clean-up of the document. Eileen will take the document with the agre changes by CAC back to Laura.

Colby asked if a student can still bring a grade appeal forward after this type of sanction. The procedures in the Appeals Rights section do indicate the dean's decision may be appealed by the student through the grade appeal process.

Rainer noted that the grounds for a grade appeal are different than this type of action. "Arbitrary and capricious" is the basis for a grade appeal. There would be merit in removing that second paragraph under Appeals Rights altogether. Having the grade appeal process mentioned in here just adds confusion – how is giving an "F" for cheating arbitrary and capricious? Modified language was suggested toguageing(o)-fiav11.3.2edn rem rede (ct c

The following two items could not be addressed due to time constraints.

- b. Possible modifications to undergraduate petition form (Rainer and Holly)
- c. Ad hoc committee

CAC adjourned at 2:00 PM. The next meeting is scheduled for December 12.